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## 1. Introduction

Let $K \mid \mathbb{Q}$ be a finite field extension with $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=n$. Then, we may consider the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $K$, say $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Thus, we have the following setup.

where $\mathcal{O}_{K} \mid \mathbb{Z}$ is an integral ring extension. Now, recall the following facts.
1.1. Proposition. Given the setup above
(a) $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ is a Dedekind domain.
(b) Given a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the ideal $(p)=p \mathcal{O}_{K} \unlhd \mathcal{O}_{K}$ has a unique decomposition

$$
(p)=\prod_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}^{e_{i}}
$$

for prime ideals $P_{i} \unlhd \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $e_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$.
(c) $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ is a finitely-generated, free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, say

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} \cong \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{n} \text { as a } \mathbb{Z} \text {-module. }
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K}$ is a finitely-generated $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$-module, that is

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \overline{\alpha_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \overline{\alpha_{n}}
$$

Furthermore, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{1}^{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{g}^{e_{g}}
$$

so each $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector space, and in fact, an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-algebra since $p \in P_{i}^{e_{i}}$.

This leads us to the following definition:
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1.2. Definition. We say a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is ramified in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ if

$$
p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}^{e_{i}}
$$

has some $e_{i}>1$ for prime ideals $P_{i} \unlhd \mathcal{O}_{K}$. If every $e_{i}=1$, then $p$ is unramified in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.
1.3. Example. Consider $2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then, since

$$
-i(1+i)(1+i)=-i(1+2 i-1)=-i 2 i=2,
$$

we have that $(2) \subseteq(1+i)^{2}$. Furthermore, since $(1+i)$ is prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ using norm arguments, and (2) has norm 4, it must be that $(2)=(1+i)^{2}$. Therefore, 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

We wish to come up with some method to determine when a prime will ramify in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. One such characterization uses the notion of the "discriminant."
1.4. Definition. Let $V$ be an $m$-dimensional vector space over $K$. Then, given a symmetric bilinear form $b: V \times V \rightarrow K$ and $\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right\}$ a basis of $V$, we define

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(b ; \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right):=\operatorname{det}\left(b\left(\omega_{i}, \omega_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}
$$

1.5. Proposition. Given another $K$-basis of $V$ as above, say $\left\{\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that

$$
M\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\omega_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
\omega_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we get that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(b ; \omega_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega_{m}^{\prime}\right)=(\operatorname{det} M)^{2} \operatorname{disc}\left(b ; \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. Consider that if

$$
B=\left(b\left(\omega_{i}, \omega_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \quad B^{\prime}=\left(b\left(\omega_{i}^{\prime}, \omega_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}
$$

then,
$B_{i, j}^{\prime}=b\left(\omega_{i}^{\prime}, \omega_{j}^{\prime}\right)=b\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k, i} \omega_{k}, \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} m_{\ell, j} \omega_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} m_{i, k} b\left(\omega_{k}, \omega_{\ell}\right) m_{j, \ell}=\left(M B M^{t}\right)_{i, j}$
and so $B^{\prime}=M B M^{t}$. Then the result is obtained by taking the determinant of both sides.
1.6. Definition. Let $K$ be a field and let $A$ be a finite-dimensional $K$-algebra with basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Then,
(a) The trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{A \mid K}(z):=\operatorname{tr} m_{z}$ where, if

$$
z x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} x_{j}, \quad a_{i, j} \in K
$$

then $m_{z}=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Note that this is independent of choice of basis since a different choice will give a matrix $m_{z}^{\prime}$ that is conjugate to $m_{z}$, which will not change the trace.
(b) The trace form $T: A \times A \rightarrow K$ is given by

$$
T(x, y)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A \mid K}(x y)
$$

Since we are in a commutative ring, the form is symmetric. Since matrix trace is bilinear, then so is the trace form.
(c) The discriminant of $A$ is

$$
\operatorname{disc}(A):=\operatorname{disc}\left(T ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

1.7. Remark. Consier the case that $K \mid \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite separable field extension with $\mathcal{O}_{K} \subseteq K$ the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $K$.
(a) Then, the discriminant is independent of choice of integral basis since, given another integral basis $\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(T ; x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=(\operatorname{det} M)^{2} \operatorname{disc}\left(T ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

However, $M$ is an invertible matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$, so it must be that $\operatorname{det} M= \pm 1 \Longrightarrow(\operatorname{det} M)^{2}=1$.
(b) Note $\operatorname{disc}(K)$ is always an integer because $\operatorname{Tr}_{K \mid \mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.
1.8. Example. Consider the field extension $\mathbb{Q}(i) \mid \mathbb{Q}$. Then, if we take integral basis $\{1, i\}$, we get

$$
m_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), m_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \text { and } m_{-1}=-m_{1}
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(1)=2, \operatorname{Tr}(i)=0, \operatorname{Tr}(-1)=-2
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{disc}(\{1, i\})=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Tr}(1) & \operatorname{Tr}(i) \\
\operatorname{Tr}(i) & \operatorname{Tr}(-1)
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0 \\
0 & -2
\end{array}\right)=-4
$$

This paper seeks to prove the following useful characterization for when a prime $p$ ramifies in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.
1.9. Theorem. A prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ramifies in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ if and only if $p \mid \operatorname{disc}(K)$.

From this result, we also have the useful corollary
1.10. Corollary. Only a finite number of primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ramify in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.

Thus, from our running example, 2 is the only prime that ramifies in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In the next section, we will follow a synthesis of the programs by [Ash03, 4.2] and [Con] to prove this theorem.

## 2. Structure and trace of the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K}$

Using our same setup, let $(p)=p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{i} P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ for prime ideals $P_{i} \unlhd \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $e_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$.
2.1. Lemma. $p$ ramifies if and only if the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K} /(p)$ has nonzero nilpotent elements.

Proof. • $(\Longrightarrow)$. Let $p$ ramify in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{1}^{e_{1}} \times$ $\cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{n}^{e_{n}}$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, where at least one $e_{i}>1$, let us say $e_{1}$. Then, the quotient ring $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{1}^{e_{1}}$ has a nonzero nilpotent element since, for $x \in P_{1} \backslash P_{1}^{e_{1}}$, we get $\left(x+P_{1}^{e_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}}=$ $x^{e_{1}}+P_{1}^{e_{1}}=P_{1}^{e_{1}}$.

- $(\Longleftarrow)$. If $p$ does not ramify in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{1} \times$ $\cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{n}$, each of which is a field since each $P_{i}$ is maximal in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Furthermore, each of these fields is finite by Proposition 1.1(c). Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K}$ cannot have any nonzero nilpotent elements.

We also have, as a corollary to the proof, that
2.2. Corollary. If $p$ is unramified in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K}$ is a product of finite fields.

This is a useful fact since
2.3. Lemma. A nilpotent element has zero trace.

Proof. Let $x^{n}=0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since $m_{x^{k}}=\left(m_{x}\right)^{k}$, it must be that $\left(m_{x}\right)^{n}=0$, so $m_{x}$ is a nilpotent matrix, which has trace 0 since its mimimal polynomial $\mu_{m_{x}}(t) \mid t^{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{K \mid \mathbb{Q}}(x)=\operatorname{tr} m_{x}=0
$$

And so, we get
2.4. Lemma. For prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}^{e_{i}}$. For any $e_{i}>1$, $\operatorname{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)=\overline{0}$.

Proof. From 1.1(c), we have that $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-algebra. By the above, since at least one $e_{i}>1, p$ ramifies and so we know $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ has a nonzero nilpotent element, say $x$. Then, extend $\{x\}$ to a basis of $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, say $\left\{x, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. Each $x x_{i}$ is nilpotent, so, for all $i$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}} \mid \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(x x_{i}\right)=\overline{0}
$$

and so, since the trace form matrix will have a row of all zeros, it must have determinant equal to $\overline{0}$ and so the discriminant is 0 .
2.5. Lemma. Let $p$ is in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be unramified, that is, $p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}$. Then, the trace form of $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is nondegenerate. Thus, given the field extension $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i} \mid \mathbb{F}_{p}$, the discriminant

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}\right) \neq \overline{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

Proof. By the arguments above, we already know that $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}$ is a finite field, and since $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is perfect, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i} \mid \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a separable field extension. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2.3 in class, it must be that the trace form is nondegenerate. Therefore, fixing an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i},\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k}\right\}$ the matrix

$$
\left(T\left(\omega_{i}, \omega_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \text { is invertible } \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(T\left(\omega_{i}, \omega_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq \overline{0}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P\right) \neq \overline{0}$.

## 3. Discriminant Behaves Well with Reduction mod $p$ and Products

3.1. Lemma. For an appropriate choice of bases,

$$
\operatorname{disc}(K) \quad \bmod p=\operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ be an integral basis for $\mathcal{O}_{K} \mid \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, we have $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
x \alpha_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i, j} \alpha_{j} \Longrightarrow x \alpha_{i}+p \mathcal{O}_{K}=\sum_{j} \overline{a_{i, j}} \alpha_{j}+p \mathcal{O}_{K}
$$

where $\overline{a_{i, j}}=a_{i, j} \bmod p$. Thus, $m_{x}$ with the entries reduced $\bmod p$ is equal to $m_{x+p \mathcal{O}_{K}}$. Thus,
$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{K} \mid \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(x+p \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(m_{x+p \mathcal{O}_{K}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(m_{x}\right) \quad \bmod p=\operatorname{Tr}_{K \mid \mathbb{Q}}(x) \quad \bmod p$ giving us that

$$
\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{K \mid \mathbb{Q}}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad \bmod p=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_{K} /(p) \mid \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i} \overline{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

and so, taking determinants of both sides gives the desired result.
3.2. Lemma. Let $F$ be a field with $B_{1}, B_{2}$ finitely-generated $F$-algebras. Then, up to appropriate choice of basis,

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(B_{1} \times B_{2}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(B_{1}\right) \operatorname{disc}\left(B_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
B_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} F e_{i}, \quad B_{2}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} F f_{j}
$$

Then, take the standard choice of $F$-basis of $B_{1} \times B_{2},\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$. Since $e_{i} f_{j}=0$ in $B_{1} \times B_{2}$, we get that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(B_{1} \times B_{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}\left(e_{i} e_{k}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}\left(f_{j} f_{\ell}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Also, for $x \in B_{1}$, since $x y=0$ for all $y \in B_{2}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \mid F}(x)
$$

and similarly for $y \in B_{2}$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}(y)=\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{2} \mid F}(y)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}\left(e_{i} e_{k}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \times B_{2} \mid F}\left(f_{j} f_{\ell}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Tr}_{B_{1} \mid F}\left(e_{i} e_{k}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{Tr}_{B_{2} \mid F}\left(f_{j} f_{\ell}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

and so, taking the determinant of both sides, we get the desired result.

## 4. Proof of the Ramification Theorem

We now prove our theorem.
Proof of 1.9. We first observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \mid \operatorname{disc}(K) & \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{disc}(K) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p & & \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(p)\right)=\overline{0} & & \text { by Lemma } 3.1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \prod \operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)=\overline{0} & & \text { by Lemma } 3.2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if any $e_{i}>1$, we get that $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ has a nonzero nilpotent element by 2.1 , and so $\operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)=\overline{0}$ by 2.4 , thus giving $p \mid \operatorname{disc}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ by the equivalences above.

If all $e=1$, then each $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}$ is a finite field, $\operatorname{so} \operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i}\right) \neq \overline{0}$ by 2.5. Therefore, it must be that $p \nmid \operatorname{disc}(K)$.

## 5. Factorization in Quadratic Number Fields

In this section, we follow [Ash03] to determine some results about factorization of primes in quadratic number fields. First, recall the theorem
5.1. Theorem (Ram-Rel Identity). Let $A$ be an integral domain with field of fractions $K, L \mid K$ a finite separable field extension of degree $n$, and $B$ the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. Given a prime ideal $P \unlhd A$, if

$$
P B=\prod_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}^{e_{i}} \quad f_{i}=\left[B / P_{i}: A / P\right]
$$

then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{g} e_{i} f_{i}=[B / P B: A / P]=n
$$

Thus, for $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0,1\}$, a squarefree integer, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) \mid \mathbb{Q}$ has degree 2 . Thus, for a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are only three possible situations.
(a) $g=2, e_{1}=e_{2}=f_{1}=f_{2}=1$, that is,

$$
(p)=P_{1} P_{2}
$$

In this situation, we say that $p$ splits in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.
(b) $g=1, e_{1}=1, f_{1}=2$, that is, $(p)$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. In this situations, we say that ( $p$ ) is inert.
(c) $g=1, e_{1}=2, f_{1}=1$, that is,

$$
(p)=P_{1}^{2}
$$

so $p$ ramifies.
Furthermore, we will use the following result about the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$.
5.2. Proposition. The discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ is $m$ if $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and it is $4 m$ if $m \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4$. In particular, the discriminant is always 0 or $1 \bmod 4$.

Proof. If $m \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4,\{1, \sqrt{m}\}$ is an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then,
$\operatorname{Tr}(a+b \sqrt{m})=\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ b m & a\end{array}\right)=2 a \Longrightarrow \operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 m\end{array}\right)=4 m$
If $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then $\left\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right\}$ forms an integral basis and

$$
\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{m-1}{4}+\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}
$$

So, $\operatorname{Tr}(1)=2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
\frac{m-1}{4} & 1
\end{array}\right)=1, \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{m-1}{4}+\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{m-1}{4} & 1 \\
\frac{m-1}{4} & \frac{m+3}{4}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{m+1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & \frac{1+m}{2}
\end{array}\right)=m
$$

We then have the following result.
5.3. Theorem. Let prime $p \neq 2$. Then,
(a) ( $p$ ) ramifies as $(p, \sqrt{m})^{2}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
(b) (p) splits as $(p)=(p, a+\sqrt{m})(p, a-\sqrt{m})$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \equiv a^{2} \bmod p$ for some $a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
(c) ( $p$ ) is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \not \equiv a^{2} \bmod p$ for all $a$.

If $p=2$ and $m$ is odd, then
(a) (2) ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.
(b) (2) splits as $\left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\left(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \equiv 1$ $\bmod 8$.
(c) (2) is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ if and only if $m \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.

Proof. We break down the various situations. Throughout, let $D=\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))$.

- Assume $p$ is an odd prime with $p$ not dividing $m . p$ does not divide the discriminant, so ( $p$ ) cannot ramify.
- If $m \equiv a^{2} \bmod p, a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, then $(p)=(p, a+\sqrt{m})(p, a-$ $\sqrt{m})$ because

$$
(p, a+\sqrt{m})(p, a-\sqrt{m})=(p^{2}, p a+p \sqrt{m}, p a-p \sqrt{m}, \underbrace{a^{2}-m}_{\equiv 0 \bmod p}) \subseteq(p)
$$

and since

$$
p(a+\sqrt{m}+a-\sqrt{m})=2 a p \in(p, a+\sqrt{m})(p, a-\sqrt{m})
$$

but $a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, so $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 a p, p^{2}\right)=p$, and thus $p \in(p, a+$ $\sqrt{m})(p, a-\sqrt{m})$.

- If $m \not \equiv a^{2} \bmod p$, then $x^{2}-m$ is irreducible $\bmod p$. Assume $(p)=Q_{1} Q_{2}$. Each $Q_{i}$ must have norm $p$, thus giving $\mathcal{O}_{K} / Q_{i} \cong$ $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. However, $\sqrt{m} \in \mathcal{O}_{K} \Longrightarrow m$ has a square root in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, a contradiction. Thus, $(p)$ is inert.
- Let $p$ divide $m$. Then, $p$ divides the discriminant and so $(p)$ ramifies. In fact,

$$
(p, \sqrt{m})^{2}=\left(p^{2}, p \sqrt{m}, m\right) \subseteq(p)
$$

However, since $m$ is squarefree, $p^{2} \nmid m$, so $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{2}, m\right)=p$, so $p \in$ $(p, \sqrt{m})^{2}$.

- Let $p=2$ and $m$ be odd.
- If $m \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \Longrightarrow D=4 m$, then 2 divides the discriminant, so (2) ramifies. We claim $(2)=(2,1+\sqrt{m})^{2}$. First, we check

$$
(2,1+\sqrt{m})^{2}=(4,2(1+\sqrt{m}), \underbrace{1+2 \sqrt{m}+m}_{\equiv 0 \bmod 2}) \subseteq(2)
$$

Furthermore,

$$
1+2 \sqrt{m}+m-2(1+\sqrt{m})=m-1 \equiv 2 \quad \bmod 4
$$

so there is some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
m-1+4 x=2
$$

thus giving us equality of ideals.

- If $m \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, then $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so we get an integral basis $\left\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right\}$ and the discriminant is $D=m$. Therefore, $2 \nmid D$, so (2) does not ramify. We then compute,

$$
\left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\left(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)=(4,1-\sqrt{m}, 1+\sqrt{m}, \underbrace{\frac{1-m}{4}}_{\text {Even }}) \subseteq(2)
$$

However, we also have

$$
1-\sqrt{m}+1+\sqrt{m}=2 \in\left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\left(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)
$$

giving us the desired ideal equality.

- If $m \equiv 5 \bmod 8$, then $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so $D=m$, meaning 2 does not ramify. Consider

$$
f(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1-m}{4} \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / P\right)[x]
$$

where $(2) \subseteq P$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. The roots of $f$ are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{m}}{2}$, so $f$ has a root in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and hence in $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P$. However, since $\frac{1-m}{4} \equiv 1 \bmod 2, f$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ cannnot be isomorphic. If $(2)=P_{1} P_{2}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, then the norm of (2) is 4 and so $P_{1}, P_{2}$ each have norm 2. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{K} / P_{i} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, (2) must remain prime.
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